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## Varational Autoencoder (VAE)

In the normal auto-encoder (AE) model, for a data distribution $p(\boldsymbol{x})$, we first encode $\boldsymbol{x}$ using $q(\boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{x})$ and decode it using $p(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{z})$. We need to optimize the loglikelihood of $\boldsymbol{x}$ for a given encoding function $q(\boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{x})$. This gives the following loss function:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{a} e}(x)=\mathbf{E}_{q(\boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{x})}[p(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{z})]+P_{\alpha}
$$

where $P_{\alpha}$ is regularization term. However it is unclear how to devise such reguarlaization term in principle.
Based on AE, varational AE (VAE) derivied the loss function in a probablistic manner. We starts from $\log p(\boldsymbol{x})$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\log p(\boldsymbol{x}) & =\log \int p(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{z}) p(\boldsymbol{z}) d \boldsymbol{z}  \tag{1}\\
& =\log \int \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{z}) p(\boldsymbol{z})}{q(\boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{x})} q(\boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{x}) d \boldsymbol{z}  \tag{2}\\
& \geq \int \log \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{z}) p(\boldsymbol{z})}{q(\boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{x})} q(\boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{x}) d \boldsymbol{z}  \tag{3}\\
& =\mathbf{E}_{q}[\log p(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{z})]-\int \log \frac{p(\boldsymbol{z})}{q(\boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{x})} q(\boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{x}) d \boldsymbol{z}  \tag{4}\\
& =\mathbf{E}_{q}[\log p(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{z})]+\mathrm{KL}(q(\boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{x}) \| p(\boldsymbol{z})) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term in the above equation is the log-likelihood of decoder output, while the second term minimize the KL divergence between encoder output and the target encoder distribution. Now the $P_{\alpha}$ in the Eq (1) has a probabilistic definition.

## Denoise Diffusion Probablistic Model (DDPM)

## Forward (diffusion) and Backward (denoise) process

In the DDPM, we start from $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$, whose distribution is unknown. At each step $t$, a diffusion process is used:

$$
\boldsymbol{x}_{t}=\alpha_{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}+\beta_{t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{t}$ draws from zero-mean unit-variance Gaussion distribution. Additionally, $\alpha_{t}^{2}+\beta_{t}^{2}=1$. We have the following attributes regarding $\boldsymbol{x}_{t}$ :

1. Forward Process: given $\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$, it is straightfoward to know that $p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}\right)$ follow a Gaussin distribution with $\alpha_{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$ as mean and $\beta_{t}^{2} \boldsymbol{I}$ as the variance.
2. Fast Forward Process: A nice property of DDPM is that the conditional distribution of $\boldsymbol{x}_{t}$ given $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ can be calculated explicitly without going through the recrusive process, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{x}_{t} & =\alpha_{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}+\beta \varepsilon_{t} \\
& =\alpha_{t} \alpha_{t-1} \ldots \alpha_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}+\left(\alpha_{t} \ldots \alpha_{2}\right) \beta_{1} \varepsilon_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{t} \varepsilon_{t} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Except for the first term in Eq. (6), each term is a zero-mean, unit-variance Gaussion noise, therefore, Eq (6) can be also written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{x}_{t}=\bar{\alpha}_{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}+\bar{\beta}_{t} \overline{\varepsilon_{t}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\alpha}_{t}=\prod_{\tau=1}^{t} \alpha_{\tau}, \bar{\beta}_{t}=\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_{t}^{2}}$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}_{t}$ is again a zero-mean, uni-variance Gaussin.
3. Reverse Process: However, we don't know the conditional distribution of $\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$ given $\boldsymbol{x}_{t}$. We only know that for small enough $\beta_{t}$, it is a still a Gaussian distribution. We use neural network (with parameter $\theta$ ) to estimate the mean and variance, given $\boldsymbol{x}_{t}$ and $t$, i.e.,

$$
p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} ; \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, t\right), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, t\right)\right)
$$

4. Conditional Reverse Process: Though we don't know the explicit form of reverse probability, a nice property of diffusion model is that $p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$ is a Gaussian distribution. This can be proved by the following deduction:

$$
p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)=\frac{p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)}{p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)}=\frac{p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}\right) p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)}{p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)}
$$

Since we know that $p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\tau} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$ is a Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\tau} ; \bar{\alpha}_{\tau} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \bar{\beta}_{\tau} \boldsymbol{I}\right)$ for $\tau>1$ from Forward Process, we then have the following equations:
$p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) \sim \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \beta_{t}^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}-\alpha_{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}\right)^{2}\right) \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \bar{\beta}_{t-1}^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}-\bar{\alpha}_{t-1} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)^{2}\right) \cdot \exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \bar{\beta}_{t}^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}-\bar{\alpha}_{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)^{2}\right)$

Note that the above equation can be written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) & \sim \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(a \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}^{2}-2 b \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}+c\right)\right) \\
& \sim \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \cdot 1 / a}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}-\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with (note that $\alpha_{t}^{2}+\beta_{t}^{2}=1, \bar{\alpha}_{t}^{2}+\bar{\beta}_{t}^{2}=1$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{t}=\bar{\alpha}_{t-1} \alpha_{t}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =\frac{\alpha_{t}^{2}}{\beta_{t}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\bar{\beta}_{t-1}^{2}}=\frac{\alpha_{t}^{2}\left(1-\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}^{2}\right)+\beta_{t}^{2}}{\beta_{t}^{2}\left(1-\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}^{2}\right)}=\frac{1-\bar{\alpha}_{t}^{2}}{\beta_{t}^{2}\left(1-\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}^{2}\right)}=\frac{\bar{\beta}_{t}^{2}}{\beta_{t}^{2} \bar{\beta}_{t-1}^{2}}=\left(\frac{\bar{\beta}_{t}}{\beta_{t} \bar{\beta}_{t-1}}\right)^{2} \\
b & =\frac{\alpha_{t}}{\beta_{t}^{2}} \boldsymbol{x}_{t}+\frac{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}{\bar{\beta}_{t-1}^{2}} \boldsymbol{x}_{0} \\
\frac{b}{a} & =\frac{\alpha_{t}\left(1-\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}^{2}\right)}{1-\bar{\alpha}_{t}^{2}} \boldsymbol{x}_{t}+\frac{\beta_{t}^{2} \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}{1-\bar{\alpha}_{t}^{2}} \boldsymbol{x}_{0} \\
& =\frac{\alpha_{t} \bar{\beta}_{t-1}^{2}}{\bar{\beta}_{t}^{2}} \boldsymbol{x}_{t}+\frac{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1} \beta_{t}^{2}}{\bar{\beta}_{t}^{2}} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we can see that $p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$ is again Gaussian with $\frac{b}{a}$ as its mean and $\sqrt{\frac{1}{a}}$ as its variance. In other word, we can re-parameterize $\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ by

$$
\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}=\frac{\alpha_{t} \bar{\beta}_{t-1}^{2}}{\bar{\beta}_{t}^{2}} \boldsymbol{x}_{t}+\frac{\beta_{t}^{2} \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}{\bar{\beta}_{t}^{2}} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}+\frac{\beta_{t} \bar{\beta}_{t-1}}{\bar{\beta}_{t}} \varepsilon
$$

with $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ a sample from zero-mean, unit-variance Gaussian.
Using the Fast forward property, we know that

$$
\boldsymbol{x}_{0}=\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}_{t}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}-\bar{\beta}_{t} \overline{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}}\right)
$$

By combing the above 2 equations, we have:

$$
\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{t}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}-\frac{1-\alpha_{t}^{2}}{\bar{\beta}_{t}} \overline{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{\boldsymbol{t}}\right)+\frac{\beta_{t} \bar{\beta}_{t-1}}{\bar{\beta}_{t}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}
$$

## Variational EM to optimize $\theta$

With the above properties, we can now derive the variational EM algorithm to maximize data distribution $p_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$ with respect to $\theta$. Since only $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ is observed, and $\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \ldots \boldsymbol{x}_{T}$ are latent, they can be treated as the latent variable $\boldsymbol{z}$ in Eq. (5). Using $q=p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \ldots \boldsymbol{x}_{T} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$ and follow Eq. (3), we can have the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log p_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) \geq \mathbf{E}_{q}\left[\log \frac{p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_{T}\right)}{q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_{T} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)}\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the same time, we can use chain rule of probability to factorize $p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_{T}\right)$ in the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0: T}\right) & =p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{T}\right) p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0: T-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{T}\right)  \tag{9}\\
& =p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{T}\right) p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{T-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{T}\right) p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0: T-2} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{T}, \boldsymbol{x}_{T-1}\right)  \tag{10}\\
& =p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{T}\right) p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{T-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{T}\right) p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0: T-2} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{T-1}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& =p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{T}\right) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\mathrm{Eq}(10)$ is possible because given $\boldsymbol{x}_{T-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0: T-2}$ is indepdent of $\boldsymbol{x}_{T}$.
Similarly, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1: T} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)=\prod_{t=1}^{T} p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we also note that for $t>1, q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}\right)=q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$ because $\boldsymbol{x}_{t}$ is conditional independent of $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ given $\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$ . We can further reforulate $q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}\right), \quad \forall t>1$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}\right)=q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)=\frac{q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)}{q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)}=\frac{q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)}{q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Cominging Eq. (12-14), we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\log \frac{p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_{T}\right)}{q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_{T} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)}= & \log p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{T}\right)+\sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}\right) \\
& -\log p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)-\sum_{t=2}^{T}\left(\log q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)+\log q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)-\log q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)\right) \\
= & \log p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{T}\right)+\sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}\right)-\log q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{T} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)-\sum_{t=2}^{T} \log q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) \\
= & \log \frac{p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{T}\right)}{q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{T} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)}+\log p_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{1}\right)+\sum_{t=2}^{T} \log \frac{p_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}\right)}{q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\log p_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) & \geq \mathbf{E}_{q}\left[\log \frac{p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_{T}\right)}{q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_{T} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)}\right] \\
& =-\mathcal{D}\left(q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{T} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) \| p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{T}\right)\right)-\sum_{t=2}^{T} \mathcal{D}\left(q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) \| p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \| \boldsymbol{x}_{t}\right)\right)+\mathrm{E}_{q}\left[\log p_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{1}\right)\right] \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}(p \| q)$ is the KL-diveragence between $p$ and $q$. Let's denote:

- $L_{0}=-\mathrm{E}_{q}\left[\log p_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{1}\right)\right]$
- $L_{t-1}=\mathcal{D}\left(q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) \| p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \| \boldsymbol{x}_{t}\right)\right), \quad t=2, \cdots, T$
- $L_{T}=\mathcal{D}\left(q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{T} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)| | p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{T}\right)\right)$

It is also noted that $L_{T}$ is not a function of $\theta$. Then the loss function of $\theta$ becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(\theta)=L_{0}+\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} L_{t} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that:

- $q\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} ; \frac{1}{\alpha_{t}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}-\frac{\beta_{t}^{2}}{\bar{\beta}_{t}} \overline{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{t}\right), \frac{\bar{\beta}_{t} \bar{\beta}_{t-1}}{\bar{\beta}_{t}} \boldsymbol{I}\right)$;
- $p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \| \boldsymbol{x}_{t}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} ; \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, t\right), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, t\right)\right)$. For simplicity of derivation, we can reparameterize $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, t\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha_{t}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}-\frac{\beta_{t}^{2}}{\bar{\beta}_{t}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, t\right)\right)$.
- The KL distance between two Gaussian distributions has a closed form, i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{KL}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}\right) \| \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{2}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\log \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{2}\right)}{\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}}+\operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{2}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}\right)+\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{2}^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}-\boldsymbol{\mu}(\downarrow)\right]\right)
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{t}(\theta) & =\frac{\beta_{t}^{4}}{2 \alpha_{t}^{2} \bar{\beta}_{t}^{2} \operatorname{det}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\theta}\right)} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}}\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{t}-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, t\right)\right)^{2}  \tag{19}\\
& =\frac{\beta_{t}^{4}}{2 \alpha_{t}^{2} \overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{t}^{2} \operatorname{det}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\theta}\right)} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}}\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{t}-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\theta}\left(\overline{\alpha_{t}} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}+\overline{\beta_{t}} \overline{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{t}, t\right)\right)^{2} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Note the above expectation is taken over $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ which is drawn from the data distribution and $\bar{\varepsilon}_{t}$ which is a zero-mean, unit-variance Gaussian distribution. Emprically, it is found that training the following simplified loss function yield better results:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {simple }}=\mathbf{E}_{t, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \bar{\varepsilon}_{t}}\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{t}-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\theta}\left(\overline{\alpha_{t}} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}+\overline{\beta_{t}} \bar{\varepsilon}_{t}, t\right)\right)^{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on this, the following training and inference algorithm can be derived:

```
Algorithm 1 Training
    repeat
    \(\mathbf{x}_{0} \sim q\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right)\)
    \(t \sim \operatorname{Uniform}(\{1, \ldots, T\})\)
    \(\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})\)
    Take gradient descent step on
            \(\nabla_{\theta}\left\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}-\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}\left(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{0}+\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_{t}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, t\right)\right\|^{2}\)
    until converged
```

```
Algorithm 2 Sampling
    \(\mathbf{x}_{T} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})\)
    for \(t=T, \ldots, 1\) do
    \(\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})\) if \(t>1\), else \(\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{0}\)
    \(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}-\frac{1-\alpha_{t}}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_{t}}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}, t\right)\right)+\sigma_{t} \mathbf{z}\)
    end for
    return \(x_{0}\)
```


## Interpretation of the Training and Sampling Process

In the previous section, we derive the training and sampling process in a mathematical rigorous way. On the other hand, it may not be easy to understand the algorithms. Here we provide a few approches to interpret how the training and sampling algorithm is derived in an intuitive manner.

## Denoising perspective

The first method approaches to the problem from the denoising perspective. By the definition of reverse process, $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, t\right)$ is to recover the mean of $\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$, thefore a reasonable loss function to optimize is thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {denoise }}=\mathbf{E}_{t, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{t}}\left\|\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, t\right)\right\|^{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we don't know the distribution of $\boldsymbol{x}_{t}$ or $\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$ and their joint distribution, we cannot determine the above loss function. Instead, we know that (from the forward process):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{t}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}-\beta_{t} \varepsilon_{t}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, we re-parameterize $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, t\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, t\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha_{t}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}-\beta_{t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, t\right)\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the loss function becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {denoise }}=\mathbf{E}_{t, \boldsymbol{x}_{t}} \frac{\beta_{t}^{2}}{\alpha_{t}^{2}}\left\|\varepsilon_{t}-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, t\right)\right\|^{2} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

To sample $\boldsymbol{x}_{t}$, recall that (by the fast-forward process),

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{x}_{t} & =\bar{\alpha}_{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}+\bar{\beta}_{t} \bar{\varepsilon}_{t}  \tag{26}\\
& =\alpha_{t}\left(\bar{\alpha}_{t-1} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}+\bar{\beta}_{t-1} \bar{\varepsilon}_{t-1}\right)+\beta_{t} \varepsilon_{t} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Plugging Eq. (27) into the loss function Eq. (22), (note that we cannot plug Eq. (26) into Eq. (22), because $\bar{\varepsilon}_{t}$ is a function of $\varepsilon_{t}$, so they cannot be sampled independently), we got

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {denoise }}=\mathbf{E}_{t, \varepsilon_{t}, \bar{\varepsilon}_{t-1}} \frac{\beta_{t}^{2}}{\alpha_{t}^{2}}\left\|\varepsilon_{t}-\varepsilon_{\theta}\left(\bar{\alpha}_{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}+\alpha_{t} \bar{\beta}_{t-1} \bar{\varepsilon}_{t-1}+\beta_{t} \varepsilon_{t}, t\right)\right\|^{2} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We further noting that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\beta}_{t} \bar{\varepsilon}_{t}=\alpha_{t} \bar{\beta}_{t-1} \bar{\varepsilon}_{t-1}+\beta_{t} \varepsilon_{t} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

is independent of $\bar{\beta}_{t} \boldsymbol{w}=\beta_{t} \overline{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{t-1}-\alpha_{t} \bar{\beta}_{t-1} \varepsilon_{t}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{t}=\frac{\beta_{t} \bar{\varepsilon}_{t}-\alpha_{t} \bar{\beta}_{t-1} \boldsymbol{w}}{\bar{\beta}_{t}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the above changing of variable, the loss function can then be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {denoise }}=\mathbf{E}_{t, \bar{\varepsilon}_{t}} \frac{\beta_{t}^{2}}{\alpha_{t}^{2}}\left\|\frac{\beta_{t}}{\bar{\beta}_{t}} \bar{\varepsilon}_{t}-\varepsilon_{\theta}\left(\bar{\alpha}_{t} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}+\bar{\beta}_{t} \bar{\varepsilon}_{t}, t\right)\right\|^{2}+C \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant (only related to $\boldsymbol{w}$ ). Also note that after the changing of variables, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\theta}(\cdot, \cdot)$ in Eq (28) and (31) are different functions (one is trying to denoise one step noise $\varepsilon_{t}$, and the other is trying to denoise cumulative noise $\left.\bar{\varepsilon}_{t}\right)$

